ERA5-Land: an improved version of the ERA5 reanalysis land component



Joaquin Muñoz Sabater,

E. Dutra, G. Balsamo, D. Schepers, C. Albergel, S. Boussetta, A. Agusti-Panareda, E. Zsoter, H. Hersbach, & collaboration of many others











Climate Change

Context: Copernicus






ECMWF



The Climate Change Service (C3S)

Questions addressed in the Service

- How is climate changing?
 - Earth observations
 - Reanalyses
- Will climate change continue/accelerate?
 - Predictions
 - Projections
- What are the societal impacts?
 - Climate indicators
 - Sectoral information





The Climate Change Service (C3S)

Questions addressed in the Service

- How is climate changing?
 - Earth observations
 - Reanalyses
- Will climate change continue/accelerate?
 - Predictions
 - Projections
- What are the societal impacts?
 - Climate indicators
 - Sectoral information

- ERA5 global reanalysis
- European reanalysis (UERRA)
- Arctic reanalysis
- ERA5-Land (global enhanced ERA5 surface fields at 9 km)
- Coupled Climate reanalysis for 100years (ERA6)

Reanalysis is now an operational service provided by ECMWF










Dedicated land reanalysis - added value

Why do we need land-only reanalysis?

- Climate reanalysis does not occur very often.
- Need to bring rapid land model developments to long, consistent time series in a cost-effective way
 - Provide consistent land initial conditions to weather and climate models.
 - Support hydrological studies addressing global water resources
- Climate reanalysis often produce inconsistencies on land fields
- Provide dedicated datasets to support and encourage land applications

ERA-Interim/Land vs ERA-Interim

- New soil hydrology
- Snow hydrology
- Vegetation seasonality
- Bare soil evaporation
- Precipitation readjustment based on GPCP v2.1







Dedicated land reanalysis - what's new?

ERA5-Land

- Rerun of a single stand-alone simulation of the land component
- No data assimilation
- Uncoupled run with the atmosphere
 - Physics of the IFS in cy45r1 (includes all modeling used in ERA-Interim/Land)
 - Surface dynamical downscaling (allows capturing details associated to processes as topographic forcing)
 - Daily lapse-rate correction
 - Revision of soil thermal conductivity and water balance,
 - Bug-fixes (rain over snow, infinitesimal fraction of convective rainfall, accumulation fluxes of CO2, etc.)
 - Potential evapotranspiration fluxes bugged in ERA5, corrected in ERA5-Land and added to the catalogue,
 - Uncertainty estimation based on a 10-member ensemble
 - More customized data set for users in different economic sectors








Change


ERA5-Land in a simple diagram





Lapse-rate adjustment

Correct for differences in orography due to different model resolutions.





See details in oral presentation: E. Dutra et al. (9.40am): "Land surface downscaling using a spatially and temporally varying lapse rate"



ERA5-Land specs compared to...

	ERA-Int	Era-Int/Land	ERA5	ERA5-Land
Period covered	Jan 1979 – NRT ^(*)	Jan 1979 – Dec 2010	Jan 1950 - NRT	Jan 1950 - NRT
Spatial resolution	~79km / 60 levels	79 km	~32 km / 137 levels	~9 km
Model version	IFS (+TESSEL)	HTESSEL cy36r4	IFS (+HTESSEL)	HTESSEL cy45r1
LDAS	cy31r1	NO	cy41r2	NO
Uncertainty estimate	-	-	Based on a 10- member 4D-Var ensemble at 62 km	Based a 10-member atmospheric forcing at 31 km
Output frequency	6-hourly Analysis fields	6-hourly Analysis fields	Hourly (three-hourly for the ensemble)	Hourly (three-hourly for the ensemble)









ERA-Int/Land vs ERA5-Land inventory of fields










Preliminary results: 2 m temperature

- Observations from SYNOP network
- Period: Sept 2014 /to/ Sept 2016

 $abs[(OBS-FC^{24h})_{ERA5}] - abs[(OBS-FC^{24h})_{ERA5L}]$


- In general ERA5-Land outperforms ERA5
- South-America, Tropics and Africa obtained the best results






Preliminary results: Soil moisture

Evaluation against in-situ stations from SCAN and USCRN networks (year 2015)







European



Preliminary results: Soil moisture

Evaluation against in-situ stations from SCAN and USCRN networks (year 2015)












ERA5/ERA5-Land impact on river discharge

Discharge time series correlation difference ERA5-Land (no lapse rate) vrs. ERA5





River discharge forecasts

	ERA-Int	ERA5	ERA5L
Mean Err	-183.71	-116.07	-62.91
Mean Abs Err	235.20	209.11	191.37
CORR	0.466	0.534	0.581

- ERA5 is better than ERA-Int/Land in the correlation, with a more mixed picture of the absolute errors.
- ERA5-Land improves further over ERA5 in the correlation
- Small differences on the discharge by correcting the input forcing.

But...

- Too short period (only 13 months).
- The 30+year period will have a better area coverage (lot more stations with observation, better

geographical coverage).







Towards ERA6-Land



Future improvements:


- Post-processing of carbon fluxes with BFAS
- Enhanced ensemble spread through additional perturbations
- Increased vertical discretization to 10 layers
- Activation of A-gs formulation (C-TESSEL)
- And of course... integration of improved land processes or new ones. For ex.
 introduction of urban tile



Wish list:

- Use of real precipitation observations
- Integration of dynamical land cover
- NRT LAI
- Coupled to an offline data assimilation system
- Parallelised production
- User-defined area output





Thank you!


ERA5-Land (~9 km)





Precipitation

- Figures courtesy of Philippe Lopez -



- Bias correction of precipitation fields maintain consistency of the land hydrology
- ERA-Interim precipitation shows good synoptic variability but can be biased → monthly bias correction
- ERA-Int has large biases in tropics and areas with snow
 → large improvements in these areas, whereas extratropics is much better ERA-Int

MODEL (ERA5 fc) - PRISM, Total precipitation (resol. = 31 km) Period : 20160101-20160131, Mean = 0.02 mm/day, Correl = 0.931

