

Irina Sandu

- Overview of models
- Bulk models
- Local K-closure
- ED/MF closure
- K-profile closure
- TKE closure
- ^C Current closure in the ECMWF model

Reynolds equations



Parametrization of PBL outer layer (overview)

Parametrization	Application	Order and Type of Closure	
Bulk models	Models that treat PBL top as surface	0 th order	non-local
K-diffusion	Models with fair resolution	1 st order	local
Mass-flux	Models with fair resolution	1 st order	non-local
ED/MF (K& M)	Models with fair resolution	1 st order	non-local
K-profile	Models with fair resolution	1 st order	non-local
TKE-closure	Models with high resolution	1.5 th order local	non-
Higher order closure	Models with high resolution	2 nd or 3 rd order local	non-



Bulk models

Local K closure

- ED/MF closure
- K-profile closure
- TKE closure
- Current closure in the ECMWF model

Local K closure

Levels



K-diffusion in analogy with molecular diffusion, but

$$\overline{u'w'} = -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{v'w'} = -K_M \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial z}$$
$$\overline{\theta'w'} = -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{q'w'} = -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{q}}{\partial z}$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\phi' w'}}{\partial z} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(-K \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}}{\partial z} \right) \approx -K \frac{\partial^2 \overline{\phi}}{\partial z^2}$$

Diffusion coefficients need to be specified as a function of flow characteristics (e.g. shear, stability,length scales).

els in ECMWF model		
91-level		
model		
480	U,V,T,q	
380	U,V,T,q	
300	U,V,T,q	
220		
220	U, V, I, q	
160	U,V,T,q	
110	U,V,T,q	
	·	
70	U,V,T,q	
30	U,V,T,q	
1.0		
10	U,V,T,q	
Z_o	$ 0, 0, T_s, q_s$	



$$K_{M} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{\phi_{m}^{2}} \left| \frac{dU}{dz} \right|, \quad K_{H} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{\phi_{m}\phi_{h}} \left| \frac{dU}{dz} \right|,$$

Use relation between Ri and z/L

$$Ri = \frac{g}{\theta_v} \frac{d\theta_v / dz}{|dU / dz|^2} = \frac{g}{\theta_v} \frac{z\theta_*\phi_h}{u_*^2\phi_m^2} = \frac{z}{\kappa L} \frac{\phi_h}{\phi_m^2}$$

to solve for z / L .
$$K_M = \ell^2 \left| \frac{dU}{dz} \right| f_M(R_i), \quad K_H = \ell^2 \left| \frac{dU}{dz} \right| f_H(R_i)$$

Stable boundary layer in the IFS: closure and caveats

$$K = \bigg| \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \bigg| l^2 f(Ri)$$

 $1/l=1/kz+1/\lambda$, $\lambda=150m$

Recent years (36R4 - 38R2)

Surface layer – SFMO Above: $f = \alpha^* fLTG + (1 - \alpha) * fMO$ $\alpha = exp(-H/150)$

As in other NWP models the diffusion maintained in stable conditions is stronger than what LES or observations indicate







Impact of reducing the diffusion in stable conditions



Almost halves the errors in low level jet, also increases the wind turning

Impact of reducing the diffusion in stable conditions



Stable boundary layer : changes to closure in 40R1 (Nov. 2013)

Turbulence closure for stable conditions:

Up to 38R2

- long tails near surface, short tails above PBL
- $\lambda = 150 \mathrm{m}$
- non-resolved shear term, with a maximum at 850hPa

$$K_{M,H} = \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} \right| l^2 f_{M,H}(R_i), \quad \frac{1}{l} = \frac{1}{kz} + \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

From 40R1

- long tails everywhere
- $\lambda = 10\%$ PBL height in stable boundary layers
- $\lambda = 30$ m in free shear layers

+

Increase in drag over orography Increase in atm/surf coupling

Consequence: net reduction in diffusion in stable boundary layers, not much change in free-shear layers, except at 850 hPa

ECMWF Newsletter, no 138





- small changes in 2m temperature during nigh time in winter (~0.1 K over Europe)
- Reduction of wind direction bias over Europe by 3° in winter, 1° in summer (out of 10°
- Improvement in low level jets (next slide)
- Improvement of the large-scale performance of the model in winter N.Hemisphere
- > Deterioration of tropical wind scores (against own analysis, not against observations)

Improvement of low level winds

Comparison with tower data T511L137 analysis runs JJA 2012, 0 UTC, step 24h

Improvement in both mean and RMSE in the upper part of stable boundary layers





- Scheme is simple and easy to implement.
- Fully consistent with local scaling for stable boundary layer.
- A sufficient number of levels is needed to resolve the BL i.e. to locate inversion.
- Entrainment at the top of the boundary layer is not represented





Bulk models

C Local K closure

ED/MF closure

K-profile closure

TKE closure

Current closure in the ECMWF model

K-diffusion method - used to describe the small-scale turbulent motions:

$$\overline{\phi' w'} \approx -\underbrace{K} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$$



Mass-flux method – used to describe the strong large-scale updraughts:



detrainment rate





Siebesma & Cuijpers, 1995



Siebesma & Cuijpers, 1995



^{CP} Bulk models

C Local K-closure

ED/MF closure

K-profile closure

TKE closure

Current closure in the ECMWF model

K-profile closure Troen and Mahrt (1986)

$$\overline{\theta'w'} = -K_H \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} - \gamma_\theta \right)$$

Profile of diffusion coefficients:

$$K_{H} = w_{s} \kappa z (1 - z / h)^{2}$$
$$w_{s} = \left(u_{*}^{3} + C_{1} w_{*}^{3}\right)^{1/3}$$

$$\gamma_{\theta} = C\overline{\theta' w'}^s / w_s h$$



Find inversion by parcel lifting with T-excess: $\theta_{vs} = \theta_s + \Delta \theta$, $\Delta \theta = D \overline{w' \theta_{v'}}^s / w_s$

such that:
$$Ri_{c} = h \frac{g}{\theta_{v}} \frac{\theta_{vh} - \theta_{vs}}{U_{h}^{2} + V_{h}^{2} - U_{s}^{2} - V_{s}^{2}} = 0.25$$



Scheme is simple and easy to implement.

- Numerically robust.
- Scheme simulates realistic mixed layers.
- Counter-gradient effects can be included (might create numerical problems).
- ^{CP} Entrainment can be controlled rather easily.
- A sufficient number of levels is needed to resolve BL e.g. to locate inversion.



Bulk models

C Local K closure

ED/MF closure

K-profile closure

TKE closure

Current closure in the ECMWF model

TKE closure (1.5 order)

Eddy diffusivity approach:
$$\overline{u'w'} = -K_M \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{v'w'} = -K_M \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}$$

 $\overline{\theta'w'} = -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{\theta}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{q'w'} = -K_H \frac{\partial \overline{q}}{\partial z}$

With diffusion coefficients related to kinetic energy:

$$K_M = C_K \ell_K E^{1/2}, \quad K_H = \alpha_H K_M$$

Closure of TKE equation



with closure:

$$\varepsilon = C_{\varepsilon} \frac{E^{3/2}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}, \quad (\overline{E'w'} + \frac{\overline{p'w'}}{\rho}) = -K_E \frac{\partial E}{\partial z}$$

Main problem is specification of length scales, which are usually a blend of κz , an asymptotic length scale λ and a stability related length scale in stable situations.

TKE (summary)



- TKE has natural way of representing entrainment.
- TKE needs more resolution than first order schemes.
- TKE does not necessarily reproduce MO-similarity.
- Stable boundary layer may be a problem.



Bulk models

C Local K closure

ED/MF closure

K-profile closure

TKE closure

© Current closure in the ECMWF model





Figure 3.1 Schematic diagram of the different boundary layer regimes.