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## Interpreting the weather situation

## Definition

Analysis: The process of approximating the true state of a (geo-)physical system at a given time using the available knowledge.

X First hand analysis of synoptic observations in 1850 by LeVerrier and Fitzroy.


X Polynomial Interpolation in the 1950s by Panofsky with the developments of computers


The black dots denote the data points, while the red curve shows the polynomial interpolation.

## Background

X An important step forward was made by Gilchrist and Cressman (1954), who introduced the idea of using a previous numerical forecast to provide a preliminary estimate of the analysis.
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## Optimal interpolation

X Bergthorsson and Döös (1955) took the idea of using a background field a step further by casting the analysis problem in terms of increments which were added to the background.

$\boldsymbol{x}$ The increments were weighted linear combinations of nearby observation increments (observation minus background), with the weights determined statistically.
$x$ This idea of statistical combination of background and synoptic observations led ultimately to Optimal Interpolation.
$X$ The use of statistics to merge model fields with observations is fundamental to all current methods of analysis.

## Data Assimilation

X An important change of emphasis happened in the early 1970s with the introduction of primitive-equation models.
X Primitive equation models support inertia-gravity waves. This makes them much more fussy about their initial conditions than the filtered models that had been used hitherto.
$x$ The analysis procedure became much more intimately linked with the model. The analysis had to produce an initial state that respected the model's dynamical balances.
X Unbalanced increments from the analysis procedure would be rejected as a result of geostrophic adjustment.
X Initialisation techniques (which suppress inertia-gravity waves) became important.
※ECMWF

## Data Assimilation

The idea that the analysis procedure must present observational information to the model in a way in which it can be absorbed (i.e. not rejected by geostrophic adjustment) led to the coining of the term data assimilation.

## Wiktionary: Assimilate

1. To incorporate nutrients into the body, especially after digestion.
$\Rightarrow$ Food is assimilated and converted into organic tissue.
2. To incorporate or absorb knowledge into the mind.
$\Rightarrow$ The teacher paused in their lecture to allow the students to assimilate what they had said.
3. To absorb a group of people into a community.
$\Rightarrow$ The aliens in the science-fiction film wanted to assimilate human beings into their own race.
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## Data Assimilation

The idea that the analysis procedure must present observational information to the model in a way in which it can be absorbed (i.e. not rejected by geostrophic adjustment) led to the coining of the term data assimilation.

## Wiktionary: Assimilate

1. To incorporate nutrients into the body, especially after digestion.
$\Rightarrow$ Food is assimilated and converted into organic tissue.
2. To incorporate or absorb knowledge into the mind.
$\Rightarrow$ The teacher paused in their lecture to allow the students to assimilate what they had said.
3. To absorb a group of people into a community.
$\Rightarrow$ The aliens in the science-fiction film wanted to assimilate human beings into their own race.
$\Rightarrow$ The process by which the Borg integrate beings and cultures into their collective.

## Our definition

$X$ The process of objectively adapting the model state to observations in a statistically optimal way taking into account model and observation errors

## Data Assimilation

X A final impetus towards the modern concept of data assimilation came from the increasing availability of asynoptic observations from satellite instruments.
X It was no longer sufficient to think of the analysis purely in terms of spatial interpolation of contemporaneous observations.
X The time dimension became important, and the model dynamics assumed the role of propagating observational information in time to allow a synoptic view of the state of the system to be generated from asynoptic data.


X Example of satellite data coverage in 6 hours (AMSU-A data).
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## Elementary Statistics

## Problem

Suppose we want to estimate the temperature of this room, given:
$\times$ A prior estimate: $T_{b}$.
$\Rightarrow$ E.g., room thermostat or assume we measured the temperature an hour ago, and we have some idea (i.e. a model) of how the temperature varies as a function of time, the number of people in the room, whether the windows are open, etc.
$\times$ A thermometer: $T_{0}$.
$x$ Denote the true temperature of the room by $T_{t}$.

## Errors

$X$ The errors in $T_{b}$ and $T_{o}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{b}=T_{b}-T_{t} \\
& \varepsilon_{o}=T_{o}-T_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$X \varepsilon_{b}$ and $\varepsilon_{o}$ are random variables (or stochastic variables)

## Elementary Statistics
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## Elementary Statistics

## Hypotheses

$\times$ We will assume that the error statistics of $T_{b}$ and $T_{o}$ are known.

$x$ We will assume that $T_{b}$ and $T_{o}$ have been adjusted (bias corrected) so that their mean errors are zero:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{b}}=\overline{\varepsilon_{o}}=0 .
$$

$X$ There is usually no reason for $\varepsilon_{b}$ and $\varepsilon_{o}$ to be connected in any way:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}}=0 .
$$

$x$ The quantity $\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}}$ represents the covariance between the error of our prior estimate and the error of our thermometer measurement.
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$\mathbf{X}$ We estimate the temperature of the room as a linear combination of $T_{b}$ and $T_{o}$ :

$$
T_{a}=\alpha T_{o}+\beta T_{b}+\gamma
$$

$\times$ Denote the error of our estimate as $\varepsilon_{a}=T_{a}-T_{t}$.
$X$ We have:

$$
T_{a}=T_{t}+\varepsilon_{a}=\alpha\left(T_{t}+\varepsilon_{o}\right)+\beta\left(T_{t}+\varepsilon_{b}\right)+\gamma
$$

$x$ Taking the mean and rearranging gives:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a}}=(\alpha+\beta-1) T_{t}+\gamma
$$

$X$ We want the estimate to be unbiased: $\overline{\varepsilon_{a}}=0$.
$X$ Since this holds for any $T_{t}$, we must have
$\Rightarrow \gamma=0$, and
$\Rightarrow \alpha+\beta-1=0$.
$\times$ I.e. $T_{a}=\alpha T_{o}+(1-\alpha) T_{b}$
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$X$ The general Linear Unbiased Estimate is:

$$
T_{a}=\alpha T_{o}+(1-\alpha) T_{b}
$$

$X$ Now consider the error of this estimate.
$X$ Subtracting $T_{t}$ from both sides of the equation gives

$$
\varepsilon_{a}=\alpha \varepsilon_{o}+(1-\alpha) \varepsilon_{b}
$$

$x$ The variance of the estimate is:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=\alpha^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}+2 \alpha(1-\alpha) \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}
$$

$\boldsymbol{x}$ With the previous hypothesis $\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}}=0$ :

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=\alpha^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}
$$

## Elementary Statistics

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=\alpha^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}
$$

We can easily derive some properties of our estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \frac{d \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}{d \alpha}=2 \alpha \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}-2(1-\alpha) \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}} \\
& \times \text { For } \alpha=0, \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}} \text { and } \frac{d \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}{d \alpha}=-2 \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}<0 \\
& \times \text { For } \alpha=1, \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=\overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}} \text { and } \frac{d \varepsilon_{a}^{2}}{d \alpha}=2 \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$



From this we can deduce:
$\mathbf{x}$ For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}} \leq \max \left(\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}, \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}\right)$
$x$ The minimum-variance estimate occurs for $\alpha \in(0,1)$.
$X$ The minimum-variance estimate satisfies $\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}<\min \left(\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}, \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}\right)$, which means it is lower than the variance of each piece of information.

## Elementary Statistics

The minimum-variance estimate occurs when

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}{d \alpha} & =2 \alpha \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}-2(1-\alpha) \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad \alpha & =\frac{\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}}{\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}+\overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to show that the error variance of this minimum-variance estimate is:

$$
\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{0}^{2}}},
$$

and the analysis is:

$$
\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}} T_{a}=\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}} T_{b}+\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}} T_{0} .
$$
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## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$X$ Now, let's turn our attention to the multi-dimensional case.
$\boldsymbol{X}$ Instead of a scalar prior estimate $T_{b}$, we now consider a vector $\mathbf{x}_{b}$.
$\mathbf{x}$ We can think of $\mathbf{x}_{b}$ as representing the entire state of a numerical model at some time.
$\boldsymbol{x}$ The elements of $\mathbf{x}_{b}$ might be grid-point values, spherical harmonic coefficients, etc., and some elements may represent temperatures, humidity, others wind components, etc.
$\times$ We refer to $\mathbf{x}_{b}$ as the background.
$x$ Similarly, we generalise the observation to a vector $\mathbf{y}$.
$\boldsymbol{X} \mathbf{y}$ can contain a disparate collection of observations at different locations, and of different variables.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$x$ The major difference between the simple scalar example and the multi-dimensional case is that there is no longer a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of the observation vector and those of the background vector.

$\boldsymbol{X}$ It is no longer trivial to compare observations and background.
$X$ When the background is a state of a numerical model at some time
$\Rightarrow$ Observations are not necessarily located at model gridpoints
$\Rightarrow$ The observed variables (e.g. radiances) may not correspond directly with any of the variables of the model.
$\Rightarrow$ To overcome this problem, we must assume that our model is a more-or-less complete representation of reality, so that we can always determine "model equivalents" of the observations.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

X We formalise this by assuming the existence of an observation operator, $\mathcal{H}$.
$\mathbf{x}$ Given a model-space vector, $\mathbf{x}$, the vector $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})$ can be compared directly with $\mathbf{y}$, and represents the "model equivalent" of $\mathbf{y}$.

$$
\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}(\cdot)} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \stackrel{\Delta^{\mathscr{J}}}{\leftarrow \mathbf{y}}
$$

$\mathbf{x}$ For now, we will assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is perfect. I.e. it does not introduce any error, so that:

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{t}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ is the true state, and $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ contains the true values of the observed quantities.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$X$ As we did in the scalar case, we will look for an analysis that is a linear combination of the available information:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}=\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{b}+\mathbf{K} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{c}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ are matrices, and where $\mathbf{c}$ is a vector.
X If $\mathcal{H}$ is linear, we can proceed as in the scalar case and look for a linear unbiased estimate.
$\mathbf{X}$ In the more general case of nonlinear $\mathcal{H}$, we will require that error-free inputs ( $\mathbf{x}_{b}=\mathbf{x}_{t}$ and $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{t}$ ) produce an error-free analysis ( $\mathbf{x}_{a}=\mathbf{x}_{t}$ ):

$$
\mathbf{x}_{t}=\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_{t}+\mathbf{K} \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\mathbf{c}
$$

$X$ Since this applies for any $\mathbf{x}_{t}$, we must have $\mathbf{c}=0$ and

$$
\mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K} \mathcal{H}(\cdot)
$$

$X$ Our analysis equation is thus:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}=\mathbf{x}_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y}-\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)\right)
$$

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}=\mathbf{x}_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y}-\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)\right)
$$

X Remember that in the scalar case, we had

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{a} & =\alpha T_{o}+(1-\alpha) T_{b} \\
& =T_{b}+\alpha\left(T_{o}-T_{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{X}$ We see that the matrix $\mathbf{K}$ plays a role equivalent to that of the coefficient $\alpha$.
$X K$ is called the gain matrix.
$\mathbf{x}$ It determines the weight given to the innovation $\mathbf{y}-\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)$
$\mathbf{x}$ It handles the transformation of information defined in "observation space" to the space of model variables.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$x$ The next step in deriving the analysis equation is to describe the statistical properties of the analysis errors.
$x$ We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{a} & =\mathbf{x}_{a}-\mathbf{x}_{t} \\
\varepsilon_{b} & =\mathbf{x}_{b}-\mathbf{x}_{t} \\
\varepsilon_{o} & =\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

X We will assume that the errors are small, so that

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\mathbf{H} \varepsilon_{b}+O\left(\varepsilon_{b}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the Jacobian of $\mathcal{H}$ (if $\mathbf{H}$ is nonlinear).

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$X$ Substituting the expressions for the errors into our analysis equation, and using $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{t}$, gives (to first order):

$$
\varepsilon_{a}=\varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\varepsilon_{o}-\mathbf{H} \varepsilon_{b}\right)
$$

X As in the scalar example, we will assume that the mean errors have been removed, so that $\overline{\varepsilon_{b}}=\overline{\varepsilon_{o}}=0$. We see that this implies that $\overline{\varepsilon_{a}}=0$.
$X$ In the scalar example, we derived the variance of the analysis error, and defined our optimal analysis to minimise this variance.
X In the multi-dimensional case, we must deal with covariances.

## Covariance

$\boldsymbol{x}$ The covariance between two variables $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\overline{\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)}
$$

$\mathbf{X}$ Given a vector $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, we can arrange the covariances into a covariance matrix, $\mathbf{C}$, such that $C_{i j}=\operatorname{cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$.
x Equivalently:

$$
\mathbf{C}=\overline{(\mathbf{x}-\overline{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}-\overline{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathrm{T}}}
$$

$X$ Covariance matrices are symmetric and positive definite
$\Rightarrow$ symmetric: $\mathbf{C}^{\top}=\mathbf{C}$
$\Rightarrow$ positive definite: $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{C z}$ is positive for every non-zero vector $\mathbf{z}$

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$x$ The analysis error is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{a} & =\varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\varepsilon_{o}-\mathbf{H} \varepsilon_{b}\right) \\
& =(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K} \varepsilon_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$X$ The analysis error is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{a} & =\varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\varepsilon_{o}-\mathbf{H} \varepsilon_{b}\right) \\
& =(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K} \varepsilon_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

$X$ Forming the analysis error covariance matrix gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}= & \overline{\left[(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K} \varepsilon_{o}\right]\left[(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \varepsilon_{b}+\mathbf{K} \varepsilon_{o}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}} \\
= & (\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H})^{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H} \mathbf{H}) \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}} \\
& +\mathbf{K} \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H})^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{K} \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$X$ Assuming that the background and observation errors are uncorrelated (i.e. $\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}=\overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}}=0$ ), we find:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H})^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{K} \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H})^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{K} \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

$\mathbf{x}$ This expression is the equivalent of the expression we obtained for the error of the scalar analysis:

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}=(1-\alpha)^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}+\alpha^{2} \overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}
$$

$X$ Again, we see that $\mathbf{K}$ plays essentially the same role in the multi-dimensional analysis as $\alpha$ plays in the scalar case.
$X$ In the scalar case, we chose $\alpha$ to minimise the variance of the analysis error.
$x$ What do we mean by the minimum-variance analysis in the multi-dimensional case?

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$x$ Note that the diagonal elements of a covariance matrix are variances $C_{i i}=\operatorname{cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=\overline{\left(x_{i}-\overline{x_{i}}\right)^{2}}$.
$\mathbf{X}$ Hence, we can define the minimum-variance analysis as the analysis that minimises the sum of the diagonal elements of the analysis error covariance matrix.
$X$ The sum of the diagonal elements of a matrix is called the trace.
$X$ In the scalar case, we found the minimum-variance analysis by setting $\frac{d \overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}{d \alpha}$ to zero.
X In the multidimensional case, we are going to set

$$
\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{K}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

$\mathbf{X}$ Note: $\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{T}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{K}}$ is the matrix whose $i j^{\text {th }}$ element is $\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{T}}\right)}{\partial K_{i j}}$.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$\boldsymbol{x}$ We have: $\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H}) \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{K H})^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{K} \overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}$.
$X$ The following matrix identities come to our rescue:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{K A K}}{}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
& \partial \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{K A})}{\partial \mathbf{K}}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{A K} K^{\mathrm{T}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{K}}=\mathbf{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{X}$ Applying these to $\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{T}}\right) / \partial \mathbf{K}$ gives:

$$
\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{K}}=2 \mathbf{K}\left[\mathbf{H} \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]-2 \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

$\boldsymbol{x}$ Hence: $\mathbf{K}=\overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathbf{H} \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]^{-1}$.

## Extension to Multiple Dimensions

$$
\mathbf{K}=\overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathbf{H} \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]^{-1}
$$

$x$ This optimal gain matrix is called the Kalman Gain Matrix.
$X$ Note the similarity with the optimal gain we derived for the scalar analysis: $\alpha=\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}} /\left(\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}+\overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}\right)$.
$\mathbf{x}$ The variance of analysis error for the optimal scalar problem was:

$$
\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{b}^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon_{o}^{2}}}
$$

$X$ The equivalent expression for the multi-dimensional case is:

$$
\left[\overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]^{-1}=\left[\overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]^{-1}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\overline{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{o}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{H}
$$

## Notation

$x$ The notation we have used for covariance matrices can get a bit cumbersome.
$x$ The standard notation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}^{a} & \equiv \overline{\varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{T}} \\
\mathbf{P}^{b} & \equiv \overline{\varepsilon_{b} \varepsilon_{b}^{T}} \\
\mathbf{R} & \equiv \overline{\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{0}^{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

X In many analysis schemes, the true covariance matrix of background error, $\mathbf{P}^{b}$, is not known, or is too large to be used.
$X$ In this case, we use an approximate background error covariance matrix. This approximate matrix is denoted by $\mathbf{B}$.

## Alternative Expression for the Kalman Gain

Finally, we derive an alternative expression for the Kalman gain:

$$
\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathbf{H P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{R}\right]^{-1}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $\left[\mathbf{P}^{b^{-1}}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right]$ gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathbf{P}^{b^{-1}}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right] \mathbf{K} } & =\left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left[\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{R}\right]^{-1} \\
& =\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{H P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left[\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{R}\right]^{-1} \\
& =\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence:

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\mathbf{P}^{b^{-1}}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1}
$$

$\mathbf{x}$ Expression 1: need the inverse of a matrix of dimension size( $\mathbf{R}$ )
$\mathbf{x}$ Expression 2: need the inverse of a matrix of dimension size $\left(\mathbf{P}^{b}\right)$
$\mathbf{X}$ Remember that $\mathbf{x}_{a}=\mathbf{x}_{b}+\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{y}-\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)\right)$
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## Optimal Interpolation

X Optimal Interpolation is a statistical data assimilation method based on the multi-dimensional analysis equations we have just derived.
X The method was used operationally at ECMWF from 1979 until 1996, when it was replaced by 3D-Var.
$X$ The basic idea is to split the global analysis into a number of boxes which can be analysed independently:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}^{(i)}=\mathbf{x}_{b}^{(i)}+\mathbf{K}^{(i)}\left[\mathbf{y}^{(i)}-\mathcal{H}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x}_{a}^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{x}_{a}^{(2)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{a}^{(M)}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathbf{x}_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x}_{b}^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{x}_{b}^{(2)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{b}^{(M)}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{K}^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{K}^{(2)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{K}^{(M)}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}^{(i)}=\mathbf{x}_{b}^{(i)}+\mathbf{K}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}-\mathcal{H}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)\right)
$$

X In principle, we should use all available observations to calculate the analysis for each box. However, this is too expensive.
x To produce a computationally-feasible algorithm, Optimal Interpolation (OI) restricts the observations used for each box to those observations which lie in a surrounding selection area:
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## Optimal Interpolation

$x$ The gain matrix used for each box is:

$$
\mathbf{K}^{(i)}=\left(\mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{(i)}\left[\left(\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{(i)}+\mathbf{R}^{(i)}\right]^{-1}
$$

$\mathbf{x}$ Now, the dimension of the matrix $\left[\left(\mathbf{H P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{T}\right)^{(i)}+\mathbf{R}^{(i)}\right]$ is equal to the number of observations in the selection box.
$X$ Selecting observations reduces the size of this matrix, making it feasible to use direct solution methods to invert it.
$\mathbf{X}$ Note that to implement Optimal Interpolation, we have to specify $\left(\mathbf{P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{(i)}$ and $\left(\mathbf{H P}^{b} \mathbf{H}^{T}\right)^{(i)}$. This effectively limits us to very simple observation operators, corresponding to simple interpolations.
$x$ This, together with the artifacts introduced by observation selection, was one of the main reasons for abandoning Optimal Interpolation in favour of 3D-Var.

## Outline

## (1) History and Terminology

## (2) Elementary Statistics - The Scalar Analysis Problem

(3) Extension to Multiple Dimensions
(4) Optimal Interpolation
(5) Summary

## Summary

$X$ We derived the linear analysis equation for a simple scalar example.
$x$ We showed that a particular choice of the weight $\alpha$ given to the observation resulted in an optimal minimum-variance analysis.
$x$ We repeated the derivation for the multi-dimensional case. This required the introduction of the observation operator.
X The derivation for the multi-dimensional case closely parallelled the scalar derivation.
$X$ The expressions for the gain matrix and analysis error covariance matrix were recognisably similar to the corresponding scalar expressions.
X Finally, we considered the practical implementation of the analysis equation, in an Optimal Interpolation data assimilation scheme.

